

**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT FINDINGS
IN CONNECTION WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
OF PARKING LOT 57,
DAVIS CAMPUS**

I. ADOPTION OF THE MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15074(b), the Facilities and Enterprise Policy Committee of the University of California, Davis campus (the campus) pursuant to authority delegated from the Board of Regents of the University of California (The Regents) (hereinafter referred to collectively as “The University”), hereby finds that the Negative Declaration and the Tiered Initial Study prepared for the proposed Parking Lot 57 (the project) have been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq. (CEQA). The University further finds that it received the Negative Declaration and Tiered Initial Study and it reviewed and considered the information contained in these documents prior to approving the design of the project. The University hereby finds that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the University of California, and The University adopts the Negative Declaration.

II. FINDINGS

The University hereby adopts the following Findings pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15074, in conjunction with the approval of the project, which is set forth in Section III, below.

A. Background

UC Davis is proposing Parking Lot 57, which would involve the construction and operation of a new, approximately 270-space surface parking lot northwest of the intersection of West Health Sciences Drive and Garrod Drive. The project would be constructed with an asphalt concrete paving surface, and would be landscaped to provide tree shading. The project would provide additional parking in the Health Sciences District (HSD) of Central Campus to meet expected demand generated by several new buildings that have recently been constructed, or are under construction and will open within the next two years.

The project site encompasses approximately three and one-half acres in the HSD. The project site is located across West Health Sciences Drive from the Maddy and Thurman Laboratories, and is currently undeveloped land covered with annual grasses, an oleander hedge, and some ornamental trees. The site is bordered to the west by the Caltrans right-of-way for State Route 113; to the north by additional undeveloped land; to the east by West Health Sciences Drive; and to the south by Garrod Drive.

The campus 2003 Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) designates the project site for *Parking*, and the proposed project is consistent with this land use designation. The proposed project would result in no enrollment or employment increase to the campus population. The proposed project is compatible with the goals of the 2003 LRDP, and would support the 2003

LRDP objectives by improving the parking infrastructure in order to meet increased demand in the HSD.

B. Environmental Review Process

A Tiered Initial Study was prepared for the project in accordance with CEQA and the University of California Procedures for Implementation of CEQA (State Clearinghouse No. 2005012057). The Initial Study for the project, in accordance with Section 15168 of the CEQA Guidelines, is tiered from the campus 2003 Long Range Development Plan Environmental Impact Report (2003 LRDP EIR) (State Clearinghouse No. 2002109092), which was certified by The Regents in connection with the approval of the 2003 LRDP in November 2003.

The project is part of the physical development proposed in the 2003 LRDP; therefore, the environmental analysis for the project is presented and analyzed within the context of the 2003 LRDP and incorporates by reference applicable portions of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The 2003 LRDP EIR, which is a program EIR pursuant to Section 15168 of the CEQA Guidelines, analyzes the overall effects of campus growth and facility development through 2015-16, and identifies measures to mitigate the significant adverse impacts and cumulative impacts associated with that growth.

As a tiered document, the Initial Study for the project relies on the 2003 LRDP EIR for: (1) a discussion of general background and setting information for environmental topic areas; (2) overall growth-related issues; (3) issues that were evaluated in sufficient detail in the 2003 LRDP EIR for which there are no significant new information, changes in the project, or changes in circumstances that would require further analysis; and (4) cumulative impacts. The purpose of the Tiered Initial Study is to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the project with respect to the existing 2003 LRDP EIR analysis in order to determine what level of additional environmental review, if any, would be appropriate.

The Tiered Initial Study analyzed the potential impacts of the project and the adequacy of the existing environmental analysis in the 2003 LRDP EIR with regard to the following environmental topic areas: (1) aesthetics, (2) agricultural resources, (3) air quality, (4) biological resources, (5) cultural resources, (6) geology, soils, and seismicity, (7) hazards and hazardous materials, (8) hydrology and water quality, (9) land use and planning, (10) mineral resources, (11) noise, (12) population and housing, (13) public services, (14) recreation, (15) transportation, circulation and parking, and (16) utilities and service systems.

Based on the analysis contained in the Tiered Initial Study, it is determined that the project would not result in any significant impacts that would not be mitigated to less-than-significant levels by previously adopted 2003 LRDP mitigation measures currently being implemented, or are not sufficiently addressed by the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University found that the project may incrementally contribute to, but would not exceed, significant environmental impacts previously identified in the 2003 LRDP EIR. Based on this analysis, the University prepared a Negative Declaration that reflects these conclusions.

The project's Proposed Negative Declaration and Draft Tiered Initial Study were submitted to the State Clearinghouse in the Governor's Office of Planning and Research and circulated for a 30-day public review period beginning on March 18, 2005 and concluding on April 18, 2005. During that time, the document was available for review by various state and local agencies, as well as by interested individuals and organizations. During the comment period, no comments were received.

C. Relation of the Project to the LRDP EIR

The 2003 LRDP EIR is a Program EIR, prepared pursuant to Section 15168 of the CEQA Guidelines (Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Sections 15000 et seq.) and Section 21080.09 of the Public Resources Code. The 2003 LRDP EIR analyzed full implementation of uses and physical development proposed under the 2003 LRDP through the year 2015-16 to accommodate a projected total enrollment level of 31,500 students, and identified measures to mitigate the significant adverse project and cumulative impacts associated with that growth. The project would not result in any increase to the campus population, and accordingly, would not exceed the population increase projected in the 2003 LRDP EIR. Additionally, the proposed project is consistent with and is part of the campus development that was anticipated in the 2003 LRDP and evaluated in the 2003 LRDP EIR.

The Draft Tiered Initial Study for the Parking Lot 57 project is tiered from the 2003 LRDP EIR in accordance with Sections 15152 and 15168(d) of the CEQA Guidelines and Public Resource Code Section 21094. Based on the analysis presented in the Draft Tiered Initial Study, no project-specific mitigation measures are identified and no project-specific mitigation measures are proposed.

D. Environmental Summary

The following sections summarize the environmental evaluation provided in the Tiered Initial Study for the proposed project.

1. Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts and Related Mitigation Measures

The Initial Study recognized significant and unavoidable adverse impacts associated with the approval of the project and identified related mitigation measures. All of these significant and unavoidable impacts that are discussed below in this Part II.D were adequately analyzed in the 2003 LRDP EIR and were fully addressed by the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The Regents in connection with approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. Most of the significant and unavoidable adverse impacts identified in the Initial Study relate to cumulative development. The Initial Study evaluated the impact of cumulative development, defined by the CEQA Guidelines as "the change in the environment which results from the incremental impact of the project when added to other

closely related past, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects" (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15355(b)). The cumulative context for the cumulative impact analysis in the Initial Study included the proposed project combined with growth allowed under the 2003 LRDP and growth anticipated in the region. In accordance with the CEQA Guidelines, the Initial Study used a "plan" approach as a framework for its cumulative impact analysis that is based upon a "summary of projections contained in an adopted general plan or related planning document which is designed to evaluate regional or area-wide conditions" (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15130(b)). The project implements a portion of the 2003 LRDP, the planning document that identifies general types of campus development to support campus growth anticipated through 20015-16. The cumulative impact analysis in the Initial Study, therefore, relies primarily on the 2003 LRDP EIR, which included analysis of campus development projected in the 2003 LRDP and related cumulative development in the campus vicinity.

Significant and unavoidable cumulative impacts resulting from the proposed project in combination with growth allowed under the 2003 LRDP and growth anticipated in the region are discussed below. The University finds these significant and unavoidable adverse impacts to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh the unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings. Associated 2003 LRDP EIR mitigation measures are identified and briefly discussed below. For a detailed description of these mitigation measures, please see the text in the Initial Study.

a. Cumulative Impacts on aesthetics from increased light and glare (LRDP Impact 4.1-6).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, would result in increased light sources that together with cumulative development in the region would create new sources of light and glare that could adversely affect daytime and nighttime views in the region. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.1-3 (a-c) and 4.1-6(a, b) would continue to be implemented and would aid in reducing the potential lighting impact identified in the 2003 LRDP. Because the campus cannot guarantee the implementation of this measure by surrounding jurisdictions, this cumulative impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

b. Impacts on air quality from emissions that exceed YSAQMD Thresholds (LRDP Impacts 4.3-1 and 4.3-3).

The project would result in increased emissions of criteria pollutants that could contribute to overall operational emissions exceeding the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District Thresholds. The potential emissions are within the emission projections contained in the 2003 LRDP EIR. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.3-1(a) (requiring the campus to reduce emissions from vehicles), (b) (requiring reduction of emissions from area sources) and (c) (requiring the campus to participate in YSAQMD planning efforts) are continuing to be implemented and will aid in reducing the potential impact to air quality identified in the 2003 LRDP. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.3-3(a-c)) (requiring the campus to reduce emissions from construction activities) are continuing to be implemented and will aid in reducing the potential impact to air quality identified in the 2003 LRDP. Because the University cannot guarantee the implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.3-1 by the Air Quality Management District, and because Mitigation Measure 4.3-3 may not reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level, this cumulative impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

c. Cumulative impacts on air quality from emissions that exceed YSAQMD Thresholds (LRDP Impact 4.3-6).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would result in a cumulatively considerable increase of non-attainment pollutants. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.3-6 (requiring the campus to implement Measure 4.3-1(a-c), described in the above item II.D.1.b) would continue to be implemented and would aid in reducing emissions. Because the campus cannot guarantee the implementation of this measure by surrounding jurisdictions, this cumulative impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

d. Cumulative loss of habitat for Swainson's hawk and burrowing owl (LRDP Impact 4.4-12).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would contribute to the loss of agricultural land that provides habitat for Swainson's hawk and burrowing owl. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.4-12 would preserve habitat, which would reduce LRDP impacts to less than significant. However,

cumulative loss of agricultural land in the region is irreversible and was determined to be a cumulatively significant impact. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

e. Project-level impacts on historical or archaeological resources (LRDP Impact 4.5-3).

The proposed project could result in a substantial adverse change to historical or archaeological resources on the project site. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.5-1 through 4.5-5 (requiring the campus to evaluate project sites for historic buildings and archaeological resources and protect discovered resources; to take appropriate steps to minimize the potential for such disturbance and; if disturbance occurs, to follow all requirements to protect the human remains and complete the proper reinterment procedures) would continue to be implemented and would aid in reducing disturbance to archaeological resources. The campus would prefer to preserve significant resources where possible; however, because there may be cases in which avoidance or preservation of such a resource is not feasible, this project-level impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

f. Cumulative impacts on archaeological resources (LRDP Impact 4.5-5).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would result in a cumulatively considerable disturbance to archaeological resources in the region. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.5-1 through 4.5-5 (as described in the above item II.D.1.e) would still be implemented and would aid in reducing disturbance to archaeological resources. Because the campus cannot guarantee the implementation of these measures by surrounding jurisdictions, this cumulative impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

g. Groundwater impacts associated with increase in withdrawals from the deep and shallow/intermediate aquifers (LRDP Impacts 4.8-5, 4.8-6, 4.8-13 and 4.8-14)

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would contribute to the demand for water from the shallow/intermediate aquifers and would increase the amount of impermeable surfaces which could substantially interfere with recharge of both the deep and shallow/intermediate aquifers. LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.8-5(b, d) and 4.8-6 (a-e) would require continued water conservation efforts, efforts to determine the ability of the both aquifers to provide for the campus' long-term water needs, efforts to minimize withdrawals by UC Davis and the City of Davis from the same deep aquifer, monitoring of both aquifers, and identification of alternative water sources, including surface water and recycled water. Regardless of these mitigation measures, UC Davis' future demand for water could reduce groundwater levels in one or both of these aquifers, contributing to a net deficit in the overall groundwater budget. LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.8-13 (a, b) and 4.8-14 (a, b) address cumulative withdrawals associated with both campus and City of Davis water demand. However, the combined effects are not well understood, and could result in a long term reduction in groundwater levels. Therefore, this impact is considered significant and unavoidable, both on a project and cumulative level. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

h. Cumulative impacts on water quality associated with increased impervious surface resulting in increased storm water runoff (LRDP Impact 4.8-10)

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would contribute to increased impervious surfaces. Alterations to drainage patterns associated with other development in the watershed could increase storm water runoff and could provide substantial sources of polluted runoff, which could adversely affect receiving water quality. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.8-10 (a-c) requires the campus and regional jurisdictions to comply with NPDES Phase II requirements and implement SWPPPs for specified industrial and construction activities. However, implementation of LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.8-10(b) and (c) cannot be guaranteed by the University of California because it falls within other jurisdictions to enforce and monitor. Therefore, the impact is considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

i. Cumulative demands on public services including regional fire and police protection (LRDP Impact 4.12-6).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, would contribute to regional demand for fire and police services. Construction of those new facilities could result in development of agricultural areas and loss of habitat. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.12-6 would provide for UC Davis to contribute a fair share of costs for feasible mitigation to reduce the environmental effects of providing those services. However, impacts associated with loss of prime farmland and habitat would be irreversible, and the cumulative impacts are thus considered significant and unavoidable. These impacts were adequately addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. The University finds that the remaining significant and unavoidable impact continues to be acceptable because the benefits of the project outweigh this and the project's other unavoidable environmental impacts for the reasons set forth in Section II.F of these Findings.

2. Significant and Potentially Significant Impacts that would be Mitigated to "Not Significant" or "Less-than-Significant" Levels and Related Mitigation Measures

The Tiered Initial Study identifies the following significant and potentially significant impacts associated with the project that would be reduced to "not significant" or "less-than-significant" levels by the continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP mitigation measures. The associated mitigation measures are identified and briefly discussed below. For a detailed description of these mitigation measures, please see the text in the Initial Study.

a. Development under the 2003 LRDP could create substantial light and glare on campus that could adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the area (LRDP Impact 4.1-3)

The proposed project would include installation of outdoor lighting, which would result in additional nighttime lighting on the campus. Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could create substantial light or glare that could adversely affect daytime or nighttime views in the area. The outdoor lighting installed as part of the project would use directional lighting methods to minimize glare and upward directed light. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.1-3 (b)-(c) requires the campus to utilize directional lighting methods with shielded and cutoff type light fixtures, and to require review of any non-directional lighting elements by the Campus Design Review Committee. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed to avoid adversely impacting daytime or nighttime views in the area. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

b. Regional growth could result in an increase in toxic air contaminants (LRDP Impact 4.3-8).

The proposed project, as part of the growth from the 2003 LRDP, in combination with expected regional growth, could result in a cumulatively considerable increase of toxic air contaminants. Previously adopted LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.3-8 (requiring the campus to monitor new regulations and programs from responsible regulating agencies and implement appropriate changes on campus) would be implemented and would aid in reducing toxic air contaminants (TAC). Because the responsible regulating agencies (California Air Resources Board (CARB), Federal Environmental Protection Agency) are giving priority to air toxics regulation, there are reduction programs under development and/or in effect, and technologies are available to achieve substantial additional TAC reductions, CARB's projections of continuing regional TAC reductions are well supported, resulting in a less-than-significant cumulative impact.

c. Development under the 2003 LRDP could result in the loss of habitat and disruption of nesting efforts and the loss of active nest sites for burrowing owls, Swainson's hawks or other birds of prey (LRDP Impacts 4.4-2, 4.4-3, 4.4-4 and 4.4-5)

The project would result in loss of agricultural land that could serve as foraging habitat for Swainson's hawk and nesting area for burrowing owl. Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could disrupt nesting efforts or result in the loss of active nest sites for Swainson's hawk. Burrowing owls have not been found on the Parking Lot 57 site. Swainson's hawks have not nested on the Parking Lot 57 site, but they have nested within ¼ mile of the project site. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.4-2, 4.4-3 (a)-(d), 4.4-4 (a)-(b), and 4.4-5 require the campus to preserve agricultural land and conduct pre-construction and annual surveys for nesting birds, to take feasible action if potential disturbance to nesting raptors is identified, and to allow the campus to minimize the potential impact. The campus continues to implement these mitigation measures when needed to ensure adequate protection of nesting efforts by burrowing owls, Swainson's hawks and other birds of prey. Implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce these impacts to a less-than-significant level.

d. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP could damage, destroy or cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource or historic building or structure as the result of grading, excavation, ground disturbance or other project development (LRDP Impacts 4.5-1, 4.5-2).

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could disrupt, damage or destroy archaeological resources. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measures 4.5-1 (a)-(b) and 4.5-2 require the campus to evaluate project sites for historic buildings and archaeological resources and protect discovered resources. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed to ensure adequate protection of historic buildings and archaeological

resources. Implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

- e. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP could disturb human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries (LRDP Impact 4.5-4).**

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could result in disturbance of human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.5-4 (a, b) requires the campus to take appropriate steps to minimize the potential for such disturbance and, if disturbance occurs, to follow all requirements to protect the human remains and complete the proper reinterment procedures. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure during project planning and construction. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

- f. Campus development under the 2003 LRDP could physically interfere with the campus Emergency Operations Plan (LRDP Impact 4.7-17).**

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could physically interfere with the campus Emergency Operations Plan. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.7-17 requires the campus to either maintain existing access routes for emergency vehicles or provide suitable construction related detours for emergency vehicles. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed to ensure adequate emergency vehicle access for the campus. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

- g. Campus development under the 2003 LRDP would increase impervious surfaces on the campus and could alter drainage patterns, thereby increasing runoff and loads of pollution in storm water, which could affect water quality (LRDP Impact 4.8-2).**

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP would increase stormwater runoff and pollution. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.8-2 requires the campus to comply with storm water management plan measures to minimize additional pollutants. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed so that storm water pollution effects are minimized. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

- h. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP in combination with regional development could alter drainage patterns in the project area and increase impervious surfaces, which could exceed the capacity of storm water drainage systems and result in localized flooding and contribution to offsite flooding (LRDP Impacts 4.8-3 and 4.8-11).**

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP in combination with regional development would increase impervious surfaces which could result in runoff exceeding the capacity of storm drainage systems. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.8-3 (a, b) requires the campus to perform storm drainage studies for each new development and design and implement any needed improvements. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed so that flooding effects are minimized. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce both project and cumulative impacts to a less-than-significant level.

i. Construction of campus facilities under the 2003 LRDP could expose nearby receptors to excessive groundborne vibration and airborne or groundborne noise (LRDP Impact 4.10-1).

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP could increase the potential for noise impacts near construction sites. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.10-1 requires the campus to enact a construction noise mitigation program to minimize the effects of construction noise. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed so that the effects of construction noise are minimized. Implementation of the mitigation measure would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

j. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP would create additional parking demand (LRDP Impact 4.14-3).

Campus development allowed under the 2003 LRDP would create demand for parking. Previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.14-3(a-b) requires the campus to continue to pursue Transportation Demand Management strategies to reduce parking demand, and provide additional parking as needed. The campus continues to implement this mitigation measure when needed so that the traffic impacts are minimized. Implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level.

3. Less-than-Significant Impacts for which Mitigation Measures Have Been Incorporated and Related Mitigation Measures

The Initial Study identifies the following less-than-significant impacts for which 2003 LRDP mitigation measures have been incorporated as part of the project. Mitigation to further reduce less-than-significant impacts is not required by CEQA. The mitigation measures identified below are presented in summary form. For a detailed description of these measures, please see the Initial Study.

a. Construction activities on campus under the 2003 LRDP would not expose construction workers or campus occupants to contaminated soils or groundwater.

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would include

ground-disturbing construction activities which could expose construction workers or campus occupants to contaminated soils or groundwater. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because of the low hazard risk. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.7-12 (performance of due diligence assessments of sites where ground-disturbing construction is proposed) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

b. Campus construction activities associated with implementation of the 2003 LRDP would not contribute substantial loads of sediment or other pollutants in storm water runoff that could degrade receiving water quality.

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would contribute to sediment in stormwater runoff. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because the campus will continue to implement erosion control measures to eliminate or reduce non-storm and storm water discharges to receiving waters. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.8-1 (implementation of erosion control for construction projects) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

c. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP would require the expansion of campus utility water extraction and conveyance systems, which would not cause significant environmental impacts.

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would contribute to the potential future expansion of the campus utility water extraction and conveyance facilities. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because of the multiple options available for completing system improvements and the relatively small construction activities that would be required to complete the system improvements. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.15-2(a and b) (conducting supply assessments prior to connecting new projects and implementing water conservation strategies) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

d. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP would require the expansion of campus storm water drainage conveyance and detention facilities, which would not result in significant environmental impacts.

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would contribute to the potential future expansion of the campus storm drainage conveyance and retention facilities. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because of the multiple options available for completing system improvements. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP

Mitigation Measure 4.15-4 (conducting utility assessments prior to connecting new projects) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

- e. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP would require the expansion of the campus electrical system, which would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts.**

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would contribute to the potential future expansion of the campus electrical system. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because of the multiple options available for completing system improvements. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.15-6 (a and b) (conducting utility assessments prior to connecting new projects and implementing conservation measures) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

- f. Implementation of the 2003 LRDP would require expansion of campus communication facilities, which would not result in significant environmental impacts.**

The project, as part of growth under the 2003 LRDP, would contribute to the potential future expansion of the campus communication facilities. This impact was determined in the 2003 LRDP EIR to be less-than-significant because of the multiple options available for completing system improvements. The impact continues to be less-than-significant and, although not required, continued implementation of previously adopted 2003 LRDP Mitigation Measure 4.15-9 (conducting utility assessments prior to connecting new projects) will continue to further reduce this less-than-significant impact.

E. Additional Findings

1. Incorporation by Reference

These Findings incorporate by reference in their entirety the text of the Negative Declaration for the project; the Initial Study for the project; the 2003 LRDP; the 2003 LRDP EIR, and the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The Regents in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP. Without limitation, this incorporation is intended to elaborate on the scope and nature of mitigation measures, project and cumulative impacts, and the basis for determining the significance of impacts, the comparative analysis of alternatives, and the reasons for approving the project.

2. Mitigation Monitoring Program

When making findings, a lead agency must adopt a reporting or monitoring program for the changes to the project that it has adopted or made a condition of project approval in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. The proposed project

includes no mitigation measures and accordingly, no mitigation monitoring program is required for the project. The campus continues to implement the mitigation measures contained in the 2003 LRDP EIR Mitigation Monitoring Program. All relevant 2003 LRDP EIR mitigation measures identified in the Final Tiered Initial Study and Negative Declaration will be monitored through the LRDP EIR Mitigation Monitoring Program adopted by the University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP in order to ensure compliance during Project implementation.

3. Record of Proceedings

Various documents and other materials constitute the record of proceedings upon which the University bases its findings and decisions contained herein. Most documents related to this project are located in the campus Office of Resource Management and Planning, University of California, One Shields Avenue, 376 Mrak Hall, Davis, California 95616. The record of proceedings for the 2003 LRDP approval is also located in the Office of Resource Management and Planning. The custodian for these documents is the Office of Resource Management and Planning.

F. Statement of Overriding Considerations

The University has balanced the benefits of the project against its unavoidable environmental risks in determining that the specific economic, legal, social, technological, and other benefits of the project outweigh the unavoidable significant adverse environmental effects. Section 15093(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines provides that when the decision of the public agency results in the occurrence of significant impacts that are not avoided or substantially lessened, the agency must state in writing the reasons to support its actions based on the Initial Study and/or other information in the record. The Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by The Regents in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP are equally relevant to, and are adopted as a part of, this project. All cumulative significant and unavoidable impacts were previously addressed in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations adopted by the University in connection with its approval of the 2003 LRDP and certification of the 2003 LRDP EIR. These Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations have been re-evaluated and are found to be current and valid Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations. Despite the occurrence of significant and unavoidable cumulative adverse environmental effects in the areas of aesthetics, criteria air pollutants, biological resources, archaeological resources, groundwater, surface water quality, and public services, the additional reasons for the approval of the project are as follows:

1. The project implements a portion of the 2003 LRDP and is consistent with the analysis in the 2003 LRDP EIR. The project would help implement Mitigation Measure 4.14-3(b) from the 2003 LRDP EIR, to partially address parking demand impacts created as a result of implementing the LRDP.

2. The project would plan and construct new parking to keep parking affordable and accessible in order meet increased demand in the Health Sciences District (HSD) of the Central Campus generated by occupancy of several new buildings that have recently been constructed, or are under construction.

3. The project would increase parking access at the edge of the HSD, thus helping to keep vehicular traffic on the perimeter of the district so as to foster pleasant and safe pedestrian and bicycle circulation throughout the interior of the HSD.

4. The project would increase parking near State Route 113, thus helping to achieve the objective of keeping parking easily accessible from the freeway interchanges that serve the campus.

5. The project would be designed to achieve campus shading standards, to preserve most existing trees on site, and to be in visual harmony with the HSD.

G. Summary

Based on the foregoing Findings and the information contained in the record, the University finds with respect to the project:

1. There is no substantial evidence that the project as proposed may have a significant effect on the environment that was not previously identified and adequately analyzed in the 2003 LRDP EIR as updated and revised.
2. The negative declaration reflects the University's independent judgment and analysis.
3. Any significant cumulative impacts to which the project contributes and that are found to be unavoidable were fully analyzed in the 2003 LRDP EIR and are acceptable due to the factors described and adopted in the Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations in Section II.F, above.

III. APPROVAL

The University hereby takes the following actions:

- A.** Adopts the Negative Declaration for the project as described in Section I, above.
- B.** Approves and makes part of the project all project elements identified in the project's Tiered Initial Study.

- C.** Adopts the Findings in their entirety as set forth in Section II, above.
- D.** Having adopted the Negative Declaration, independently reviewed and analyzed the Negative Declaration and Final Initial Study, and adopted the Findings, the University hereby approves the construction and design of Parking Lot 57.

John Meyer, Vice Chancellor-Resource Management and Planning

Date