

**UC DAVIS 2003 LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

**ERRATA
November 18, 2003**

The information presented below is incorporated into the UC Davis 2003 LRDP Final EIR by reference.

- 1) The following text is added to page 4-62, line 5 in Volume IV of the Final EIR.

“As illustrated on page 41 of the Neighborhood Master Plan, building heights would be stepped-down near the Russell Corridor. Development adjacent to the corridor would consist of single family residences whose back yards would abut the corridor. The structures nearest the corridor would be single-story cottage/garage units, some of which may have lofts, followed by the one- or two-story homes. There would be no apartment buildings or other tall structures near the Russell Corridor and there would be no parking lots within view of the corridor. Due to the minimum 200-foot landscaped buffer between Russell Boulevard and the neighborhood, the fact that the homes within the Neighborhood nearest to Russell Boulevard will be small cottages, and the fact that no large structures are proposed along the northern edge of the Neighborhood, specific design elements such as fencing or color of structures are not an issue for scenic resources along Russell Boulevard.”

- 2) The following note is added to page 4-11, line 17 in Volume IV, of the Final EIR

“Note: On September 16, 2003, after publication of the Draft EIR, the City of Davis General Plan amended its Farmland Preservation Ordinance, changing the mitigation requirement for the loss of farmland to a 2:1 ratio.”

- 3) The following text is added to page 4-69, line 9 in Volume IV of the Final EIR

“Regarding a context statement, please see response to comment LA-2-30.”

- 4) The labeling of Comment 55 on Comment Letter LA-2 is corrected to include City of Davis’ comment number 88. The response to that comment is included in the response to comment LA-2-55 on page 4-80 of Volume IV of the Final EIR.

- 5) The following text is added to page 4-87, line 17 in Volume IV of the Final EIR.

“The Higher Density Alternative would be infeasible because it would result in substantially higher construction costs on account of parking structures and taller buildings included in this alternative. The additional cost would not result in any added environmental benefits other than those associated with use of less acreage because the capacity of the Research Park under the Higher Density Alternative would be the same as under the proposed project.”